navigator*




Reconciliation & Economic Plan



Methodology

GBAO conducted a national survey of 1,000 likely 2022 midterm voters from July 19th – 25th, 2021. The survey included an oversample 405 New Hampshire voters, 426 Wisconsin voters, and 501 GOP leaning battleground congressional district voters (AZ-6, CA-21, CA-25, CA-39, CA-48, CO-3, FL-26, FL-27, IA-1, IA-2, MI-3, MN-1, NE-2, NJ-2, NM-2, NY-11, NY-22, NY-24, NC-8, PA-1, PA-10, UT-4, VA-5). Interviews were completed by phone (landline & cell) and text-to-web. The margin of error at the 95% confidence level is +/-2.0%. The margin of error on sub-samples is greater.



Demographics of likely voter population

Women	Men	18-49	50+	Non-Coll	College	White	Black	Hispanic	AAPI
52	47	43	56	52	45	69	12	10	4




Key Takeaways

- There is consistent majority support for Biden's economic plan—overall, with more information about how it is paid for, and for each component.
- Expanding Medicare, cracking down on tax evasion, and raising wages for home care workers earn particularly strong, cross-partisan support.
- The plan wins exchanges against conservative counterarguments on inflation, COVID, making the wealthy pay their share, and needed investments.



Majorities Nationwide And In Key Battlegrounds Support Biden's Economic Plan

Do you support or oppose President Biden's \$3.5 trillion economic plan that would cut taxes for most families with children, address climate change, make access to child care, health care, and elder care more affordable, and expand Medicare benefits for seniors?






Adding Context About How The Plan Is Paid For Keeps Support Stable, Or Slightly Improves It

I'm going to read you some more details about this plan. President Biden and Democrats in Congress have proposed paying for these investments by raising taxes on the wealthy and big corporations, including raising taxes on those with incomes in the top 2%, raising taxes on those earning over \$1 million by selling stocks and bonds, increasing the corporate tax rate from 21% to 28%, and increasing funding to crack down on wealthy tax cheats. Now that you've heard a bit more about the plan, do you support or oppose this economic plan?

Total





Medicare Expansion To Cover Dental, Vision And Hearing Most Popular Provision, And Majorities Of Independents Support All

I'm going to read some components of President Biden's economic plan. For each one, please tell me whether you support or oppose that component of the plan.

Total Support

†Split Sample	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Overall	Dem	Ind	Rep
Expand Medicare coverage for seniors to include dental, vision, and hearing coverage	60%	22	81	97	81	65
Raise wages for home care workers who help with elderly, injured, and disabled patients	47	25	73	96	62	50
Expand access to long-term care for millions of families that currently can't afford it	46	22	68	95	59	42
Create 1000s of new high-paying jobs to address climate crisis through research & development, clean energy, & upgrades in our roads and transit	46	15	61	97	53	24
Provide child care help for middle- and lower-income families, so they don't spend more than 7% of their income on child care	45	21	65	96	53	35
Expand HC tax credits to reduce premiums for families that buy covg on their own by an avg of \$50/month per person	41	25	66	93	57	38
†Expand the Child Tax Credit – a tax cut for most families with children – and make it permanent	38	26	65	93	60	36
†Expand the recent tax cuts for most families with children and make them permanent	33	28	61	89	48	33



Each Proposal For Investment And Taxing The Wealthy All Earn Majority Support


Summary of <u>investment</u> and <u>tax</u> proposals

†Split Sample	Total Support
Expand Medicare to include dental, vision, and hearing coverage	81%
Raise wages for home care workers who help with elderly, injured, and disabled patients	73
†Increasing funding to crack down on tax evasion by large corporations and the wealthiest Americans	72
Expand access to long-term care for millions of families that currently can't afford it	68
Expand HC tax credits to reduce premiums for families that buy coverage on their own by avg of \$50/month	66
†Increasing IRS funding to crack down on tax evasion by large corporations and the wealthiest Americans	66
Provide child care help for mid/lower income families, so they don't spend more than 7% on child care	65
†Expand the Child Tax Credit – a tax cut for most families with children – and make it permanent	65
Raising taxes on people with incomes in the top 2%	63
Raising taxes on those earning over \$1M/year from selling stocks and bonds, known as capital gains	62
Create 1000s of new jobs to address climate through R & D, clean energy, and upgrades in our roads and transit	61
†Expand the recent tax cuts for most families with children and make them permanent	61
†Increasing the corporate tax rate from 21% to 28%	55
†Increasing the corporate tax rate from 21% to 28%, bringing it closer to earlier levels	55
While protecting farms/small biz, closing loophole allowing wealthy avoid taxes by passing down large inheritances	53



Support For The Plan Increases Slightly After Proposals

Now that you've heard more information, do you support or oppose President Biden's \$3.5 trillion economic plan that would cut taxes for most families with children, address climate change, make access to child care, health care, and elder care more affordable, and expand Medicare benefits for seniors?





The Plan Wins Across All Exchanges, Around Investment, Inflation, COVID, And The Wealthy Paying Their Share

I am going to read you some pairs of statements from supporters and opponents of President Biden's economic plan. For each pair, please tell me who you agree with more - supporters or opponents - even if neither is exactly right.

Supporters say the wealthy are just getting wealthier while working families are struggling to get by. This plan would make the wealthy pay their fair share in taxes while providing tax cuts to families on child care, health care, elder care, and education.

Supporters say now is the right time to make the investments we need to move our country forward. Fixing roads and bridges, closing tax loopholes, addressing climate change, and improving early childhood care are long overdue and will improve our quality

of life and create new jobs.

Supporters say this plan can help address rising costs. By reducing the cost of child care and health care while investing in transportation and infrastructure, we can lower the cost of living and make it easier to move goods around the country.

Supporters say the pandemic took a lot from us - hundreds of thousands of lives lost and millions of people out of work. We need to repair our economy, bring our country together, and rebuild from this last crisis and prepare for the future.



Opponents say this plan is bringing us closer to socialism, giving away money to favorite Democratic groups and punishing the job creators who have gotten our economy back on track.



Opponents say this plan is too big. It pours a massive \$3.5 trillion into all kinds of different pet projects, adding even more to our \$28 trillion deficit that will increase taxes and leave a greater burden for future generations to pay back.



Opponents say that with costs climbing every day, the last thing we should be doing is quickly spending trillions of dollars on new projects.



Opponents say we shouldn't use the pandemic as a reason to give away money to all kinds of pet liberal causes, like electric cars and labor unions. The best thing we can do right now is not spend too much of taxpayers' dollars and add to our growing debt.



navigator.

About Navigator

In a world where the news cycle is the length of a tweet, our leaders often lack the real-time public-sentiment analysis to shape the best approaches to talking about the issues that matter the most. Navigator is designed to act as a consistent, flexible, responsive tool to inform policy debates by conducting research and reliable guidance to inform allies, elected leaders, and the press. Navigator is a project led by pollsters from Global Strategy Group and GBAO along with an advisory committee, including: Andrea Purse, progressive strategist; Arkadi Gerney, The Hub Project; Joel Payne, The Hub Project; Christina Reynolds, EMILY's List; Delvone Michael, Working Families; Felicia Wong, Roosevelt Institute; Mike Podhorzer, AFL-CIO; Jesse Ferguson, progressive strategist; Navin Nayak, Center for American Progress Action Fund; Stephanie Valencia, EquisLabs; and Melanie Newman, Planned Parenthood Action Fund.

About the Study

GBAO conducted a national survey of 1,000 likely 2022 midterm voters from July 19th – 25th, 2021. The survey included an additional oversample of 405 New Hampshire voters, 426 Wisconsin voters, and 501 GOP leaning battleground congressional district voters (AZ-6, CA-21, CA-25, CA-39, CA-48, CO-3, FL-26, FL-27, IA-1, IA-2, MI-3, MN-1, NE-2, NJ-2, NM-2, NY-11, NY-22, NY-24, NC-8, PA-1, PA-10, UT-4, VA-5). Interviews were completed by phone (landline & cell) and text-to-web. The margin of error at the 95% confidence level is +/-2.0%. The margin of error on sub-samples is greater.

For Press inquiries contact:

press@navigatorresearch.org

To learn more about Navigator:

http://navigatorresearch.org

@NavigatorSurvey on Twitter

